

### Developmental works by T. U. B., Chikodi

132. SRI J. S. AMMANNAVAR (Sadalga).— Will the Minister for Rural Development and Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:—

(a) the names of the Developmental works such as roads dips, culverts, C. D Works taken up by the T. U. B. Chikodi during the year 1975-76 and the amount spent on each of them till 31st July 1976 and the names of works completed and not completed;

(b) the reasons for non-completion of the works;

(c) the agency fixed for completion of the works in each case?

SRI K. PRABHAKAR (Minister for Rural Development and Panchayati Raj).—

(a) *Vide statement 'A' placed on the Table of the House.* \*\*

(b) Due to the difficulty in getting labourers.

(c) *Vide Statement 'B' placed on the Table of the House.* \*\*

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಎಸ್. ಅಮ್ಮಣ್ಣನವರ್.—ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ವಿವರನಾದಂಥ ಒಂದು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಮುಗಿದಿವೆ, ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಮುಗಿದಿಲ್ಲ, ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಏಜೆನ್ಸಿ ಯಾರು ಎಂಬ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅನೇಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಆ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ೨೫ ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್‌ವರೆಗೆ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಒಬ್ಬರೇ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್‌ಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನಿತ್ತು? ಒಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕೋಡಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ರೂರಲ್ ಡೆವಲಪ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ವರ್ಕ್ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯದಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಕಮಿಟಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿ, ಆ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೂಲಂಕಷವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

† ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್ — ನನ್ನ ದಿವಸ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ.ಎಸ್. ಅಮ್ಮಣ್ಣನವರ್.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸೀರಿಯಲ್ ನಂ. ೧೧ರ ಕೆಲಸದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ೫೨೩೩ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಕೆಲಸ ಮುಗಿದಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಆ ಕೆಲಸ ಇನ್ನೂ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ; ಈ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಜಾಗೃತ ಮುಗಿಸದಿದ್ದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸತ್ಯಾಗ್ರಹ ಮಾಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಸಹ ಕಳೆದ ಸಲ ನಡೆದ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಆ ಕೆಲಸ ಇನ್ನೂ ಆಗದ ಕಾರಣ ಬಸ್‌ಗಳ ಓಡಾಟ ಬಂದ್ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಪ್ಪು ತಪ್ಪು ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.— ತಾವು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ವಿವರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು. ಈ ಕೆಲಸಗಳ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಕ್ರಮಗಳು, ಅನ್ಯಾಯಗಳು ನಡೆದಿವೆ ಎಂಬುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಎಲ್ಲದಕ್ಕೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಅಂತಹ ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

\*\* Copies placed in the Karnataka Legislative Library *Vide* No. 4 dated 9th November 1976.

**Purchase of Diesel Locomotives by V.I.S.L.**

159. SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR (Bhadraavathi) — Will the Minister for industries and Parliamentary Affairs be pleased to state.

(a) the number of Diesel Locomotives purchased by Visveswaraya Iron & Steel Ltd., so far;

(b) the names of the firms which have supplied these locomotives;

(c) the number of condemned Diesel Locos;

(d) the amount spent for repairing the diesel locos purchased from M/s Suri & Nayar;

(e) the number of diesel locos purchased from M/s. Suri & Nayar;

(f) whether there were any audit objections in respect of these purchases?

SRI S. M. KRISHNA (Minister for Industries and Parliamentary Affairs).—

(a) Tramgauge	...	...	6
Metregauge	...	...	16
			—
			22
			—
(b) M/s. Arn Jung Locomotives Fabric, Germany			
(2 TG 2 MG)	...	...	4
M/s. Henschel Werke A. G. Kassel,			
Germany MG.	...	...	8
M/s. Dynacraft Machine Co., Bombay MG.	...	...	2
M/s. Tata Engineering & Locomotive Co.,			
Jamshedpur MG.	...	...	4
M/s. Suri & Nayar Ltd., Bangalore (order			
placed) TG.	...	...	4
			—
			22
			—

(c) Nil

(d) Nil

(e) Order is placed with M/s. Suri & Nayar for the supply of 4 Nos. Locos as rated to make 2 combinations. The Visveswaraya Iron & Steel Ltd., have received only 2 Locos for one combination during April/May 1975. They are yet to supply another combination of 2 Locos.

(f) Yes.

**SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.**—In answer to (c) the number of condemned diesel locos and (d) the amount spent for repairing you have said 'Nil'. But two diesel locomotives are under repairs and are idle. That information is with you and even the P.A.C. has seen it. In answer to the question—whether there were any audit objections you have said 'yes'. My specific question was that the audit report may be placed on the table of the House. I want to know whether the audit objections raised by the Accounts Officer are placed on the table of the House.

† **SRI S. M. KRISHNA.**—Formerly these locomotives were being imported by this country but now a unit in Bangalore, M/s. Suri & Nayar has given an indigenous alternative to these locomotives and The Visweswarayya Iron & Steel Ltd., accepted it and placed orders. No locomotive has been condemned. There were certain teething problems in commissioning these and it is being attended to. With regard to the question about the audit para, I have said it is going to find a place in the balance-sheet when it is placed before the House.

**SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.**—These two locos are purchased from M/s. Suri & Nayar which is a bogus concern and the amounts have to be paid after giving satisfactory service. They are not giving satisfactory service, and the amount was paid in full; Rs. 10 lakhs have been paid and it went to Jan Sangh fund.

**SRI S. M. KRISHNA.**—The imagination of the Hon'ble Member does not seem to have a ceiling at all. I refuse the insinuation that it is a bogus firm. It is one of the most prestigious firms. The factory is located in whitefield and if any one has eyes and time, he can go to the factory and see. They are not only supplying locomotives to the Visweswarayya Iron & Steel but also to the Railway Board, Hindustan Steel, Tatas, Jemshedpur and to say it is a bogus firm is height of irresponsibility.

**SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.**—My question may be irresponsible and the answer may be irresponsible. Any Hon'ble Member can go and see these locomotives. They are expected to drag 400 metric tons of load but they are not drawing even 4 Mts. The Hon'ble Speaker may appoint a member to go into this. The public Accounts Committee has seen it. They are condemned Locos and they were repaired by the same concern and even to-day, there are not working. This may be a good concern but actually this concern has supplied condemned locomotives. They are condemned. Even to-day, they may be examined.

**SRI S. M. KRISHNA.**—The Hon'ble member has got things confused. This firm takes orders and manufactures these locomotives. When an agreement is entered into, certain amount has to be given by way

of advance payment. It is just normal transaction and it is nothing extraordinary or abnormal. The capacity of the locomotives is 300 metric tons; when it was put on operation it could only do 200 metric tons. So we told the suppliers that the capacity is 300 metric tons and it can only do 200. They said there is something wrong and so they have sent a couple of experts who are attending to this. It is not correct appreciation of the facts as they are and I refuse what the member has said. I have conceded that there are some teething troubles and when we have to find indigenous alternatives there are bound to be teething problems. The Mangalore Chemicals & Fertilisers have teething problems. There are bound to be teething problems.

SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR.—The Hon'ble Minister cannot say that I am making an irresponsible statement. I have been telling him and I am bringing to his notice for the past one year that these condemned locos are purchased and proper arrangement may be made to get back the amount. Now you have conceded that the amount is paid but you are saying that I am irresponsible, and this is a very reliable firm.

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—I would like to assure the Hon'ble Member Sri Abdul Khuddus Anwar that my answer was confined only to this question. The firm is not a bogus one. The Hon'ble Member should not mistake me. Dr. Suri has been awarded 'PADMA SHRI'. He is the consultative agency of the Planning Commission and various other organisations and firms. He is such a distinguished Indian and it hurts me if such a statement is made against him.

#### Deepamal-Manakapur Road in Chikodi Taluk

175. SRI J. S. AMMANAVAR (Sadanga).—Will the Minister for Rural Development and Panchayati Raj be pleased to state :—

(a) whether there is any road known as DEEPA-MAL-MANAKAPUR Road in Chikodi Taluk;

(b) if so, when the same was formed;

(c) the amounts spent over it during 1973-74, 1974-75, 1975-76 and 1976-77;

(d) whether this road is motorable;

(e) whether the State Transport Department is operating any bus service on this road;

(f) if not, since when; the reasons for the same?